

TOWN OF LYNNFIELD

DEPARTMENT
OF
PUBLIC WORKS

MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 25, 2021

TO: Brian Charville, Planning Board Chairman

FROM: Charles Richter, P.E., Town Engineer

CC: John Tomasz, Director of Public Works

Peter Ogren, Hayes Engineering

Jay Kimball

JOHN M. TOMASZ Director

RE: Definitive Plan for 271 Main Street

CHARLES L. RICHTER, P.E. TOWN ENGINEER

55 SUMMER STREET

LYNNFIELD, MA 01940

After reviewing the "Definitive Plan, Road A, Lynnfield, MA" dated August 13, 2020, there are several issues the Department of Public Works would like the applicant's engineer to address. The following is a list of those issues:

TEL: 781-334-9500

FAX: 781-334-9509

Design Waiver Requests

Please see comments in the Public Works memo to the board dated December 11, 2020.

WWW.TOWN.LYNNFIELD.MA.US

Definitive Plan Contents

The following is a review of the completeness of the subdivision plan sheets as required and outlined under section 375-6.4 of the Planning Board's Rules and Regulations:

- 1. Lotting Plan(Section 375-6.4.1)
 - a. Requested plan waivers by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4.A(6) To not show two bench marks on this sheet. It's unclear why this waiver is requested. The Department does not recommend this waiver.
 - ii. Section 375-6.4.A(9) To not show building setbacks on this sheet. It's unclear why this waiver is requested. The Department does not recommend this waiver.
 - iii. Section 375-6.4.A(13) To have a locus at a scale of 1"=40'. The Department recommends this waiver.
- 2. Existing conditions and demolition plan(Section 375-6.4.2)

- a. Requested plan waivers by applicant
 - Section 375-6.4.B(8) To not show regulated resources within 150' from the subdivision. Resources are on the subdivision property. The Department recommends this waiver request.

3. Plan and profile(Section 375-6.4.3)

- a. Requested plan waivers by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4.C(1)(d) -Scale of the plan is 1"=20' horizontal and 1"=2' vertical. The Department recommends the waiver of this sheet requirement.
 - ii. Section 375-6.4.C(2)(d) To not show abutting houses. The Department does not recommend this waiver. It is important for discussion purposes to provide a context where abutting houses are located in relation to the subdivision.

4. Topographic Plan(Section 375-6.4.4)

- a. Requested plan waivers by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4.D(2) Waiver requirement to show two foot contours in place of one foot contours. The Department recommends that the waiver be denied as one foot contours will provide additional grading detail.
 - ii. Section 375-6.4.D(8) To not show top and bottom of curb elevations. The Department recommends this waiver request.
 - iii. Section 375-6.4.D(11) To not show stormwater detail on this sheet. The road infiltration and bio-retention area is shown in more detail on the detail sheet. More detail should be added to these details including more grading information.
 - iv. Section 375-6.4.D(12) To not show sight distances. The Department feels that the subdivision is for only one house lot and therefore should not require sight distances to be shown on the plan.
- b. Benchmark is located on proposed road infiltration system. This benchmark will be unusable once the infiltration system is excavated. Please relocate to a permanent monument.
- c. Remove centerline stationing to improve clarity.

5. Street Lighting Plan(Section 375-6.4.5)

- a. Requested sheet waiver by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4(e) To not have a street lighting plan in its entirety The Department recommends this waiver if the Planning Board approves the applicant's request for a waiver on street lights for the subdivision.

6. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan(Section 375-6.4.6)

- a. Requested sheet waiver by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4(f) To not have an Erosion Control Plan(shown on the Topographic Plan) The Department recommends this waiver if the applicant's engineer provides all the requirements of this on the Topographic Plan.

7. Detail Sheet(Section 375-6.4.7)

- a. Requested plan waivers by applicant
 - i. Section 375-6.4.G.(1) To not show details not applicable to the subdivision. Before approval the Department recommends that applicant's engineer list the details they feel are not applicable.
- b. The casting and grate shown on the catchbasin needs to be identified as EJIW# 0MA552000024.
- c. Detail for gutter inlet and transition stone for catchbasin is missing. This will need to be added.

Drainage

- 1. Additional test pits need to be done accordance to the DEP Stormwater Handbook. Per the Stormwater Handbook, test pits need to be dug for the road infiltration system, the bio-retention system, and both roof runoff recharge systems.
- 2. Drainage analysis needs to look beyond the property lines of the subdivision. Water runoff from adjacent lots needs to be taken into account so the drainage system can be adequately designed.
- 3. It's unclear the path of water being shed from catchment area EX1. It appears to just end at the property line. This needs to be looked at in relation to the proposed runoff to determine if adjacent land will be affected from the flow of water.

Miscellaneous

- 1. The dimensions of the proposed roadway need to have Fire Department input. It's unclear whether the paved road will meet the requirements of the Department. Any significant changes may require changes to the proposed drainage system.
- 2. A draft of the Homeowner's Association agreement should be provided to understand who the parties are that are responsible for maintaining certain aspects of the subdivision. The road infiltration basin, Stormceptor unit, bio-retention system, and the roof runoff recharge systems are aspects of the system that needs to be maintained. Annual reporting requirements for the inspection and maintenance activities need to be included in the Homeowner's Association agreement. Annual reporting should be provided to the DPW.
- 3. It should be made clear in the Planning Board's decision that the road was not built according to Town standards therefore the Board does not ever intend to recommend the street for acceptance at Town Meeting.
- 4. It is recommended that right of way remain unnamed. This right-of-way is for all intent and purposes a long driveway. It is also recommended that the house to the rear be given a Main Street address to avoid any confusion that the right-of-way is a public way.